Case 1:15-cv-05871-KPF Document 134 Filed 03/19/19 Page 1 of 11 1 J3B7PRIC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 KELLY PRICE, 4 Plaintiff, 15 Civ. 5871 (KPF) 5 v. 6 CITY OF NEW YORK, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 New York, N.Y. 9 March 11, 2019 3:30 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE LLP 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: KELSIE ANN DOCHERTY 16 AMANDA BAKOWSKI DAMARIS HERNANDEZ 17 MICHAEL A. CARDOZO 18 Corporation Counsel of the City of New York 19 Attorney for Defendants BY: DEBRA MARCH 20 Assistant Corporation Counsel 21 22 23 24 25

1 (In open court) (Case called) 2 3 MS. DOCHERTY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Kelsie 4 Docherty, Cravath Swaine & Moore, on behalf of Ms. Price. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Docherty, good afternoon. Thank you 6 very much. 7 MS. BAKOWSKI: Amanda Bakowski, from Cravath Swaine & 8 Moore, also for Ms. Price. 9 THE COURT: As between the two of you, is there one to 10 whom I should be directing questions? 11 MS. DOCHERTY: I will take questions. 12 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Docherty. Thank you. 13 And you have as well someone else in the firm? 14 MS. HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Damaris 15 Hernandez of Cravath Swaine & Moore, on behalf Ms. Price. 16 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 17 Representing the defendants? 18 MS. MARCH: Good afternoon, your Honor. Debra March 19 on behalf of the City defendants from corporation counsel. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 All right. The reason we're holding this conference 22 is that we've had some conferences in this case with Ms. Price. 23 And may I understand, Ms. Docherty, is she not expected to be 24 here today? 25 MS. DOCHERTY: She is on her way. She is running a

1 little late, but she is on her way.

Deen — after we went through the initial round of motion practice and there were a number of amendments to the complaint, we were in discovery, and I got the sense that there were some issues or concerns that Ms. Price had with participating in discovery, so we sought out counsel and we now have counsel.

I was wondering, have you -- and by you I mean the folks at the front table and the folk at the back table -- have you had a chance at all to discuss discovery in this case?

MS. DOCHERTY: We've discussed it briefly.

THE COURT: Ms. Docherty, if I can ask you to stand.

It's easier to see you because of the placement of monitors in this courtroom. Thank you very much.

MS. DOCHERTY: Ms. March and I discussed it briefly last week, and we believe something along the lines of the discovery schedule that had been previously set would be appropriate.

THE COURT: OK, let me speak to Ms. March.

Ms. March, you know better than I do how far we got in discovery. Is it fair to say not that far?

MS. MARCH: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Much as I don't want to say this -- as the words leave my lips, I want to reel them back -- should

we start again and enter a new case management plan in this case?

MS. MARCH: Your Honor, I believe that is a good idea.

THE COURT: If I enter a new case management plan in this case and it's the typical 120 days for fact discovery and 45 days for expert discovery, will it be completed?

MS. MARCH: Your Honor, on my end -- and I believe we briefly discussed this on Friday -- perhaps five months or a little bit longer than your Honor's typical discovery schedule would be better suited for this case.

THE COURT: Showing you that I am not always unreasonable, may I understand why a longer fact discovery period would be useful here? Given that, I have to assume at least on your end discovery was gathered and prepared for production; is that correct?

MS. MARCH: Yes, your Honor, we have discovery ready that I did send Ms. Price. We did not receive any responses to our discovery responses, which I know plaintiff's counsel are going to be working with her to get us responses. And it's also building in time for them to come up to speed, for us to decide about scheduling of depositions, and to allow us sufficient time to do that.

THE COURT: I understand. Do you contemplate third-party discovery in this case?

MS. MARCH: At this time, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you receive, to the extent you need 1 them, the HIPAA authorizations that are ordinarily exchanged 2 3 early on in the case? 4 MS. MARCH: Yes, your Honor, I believe so, I did 5 receive the HIPAA from Ms. Price. THE COURT: OK. And were there other -- I have a 6 7 recollection of other releases that may have been signed at the beginning, or a reluctance on Ms. Price's part to sign other 8 9 releases. Perhaps I'm mistaken. Were there other releases? 10 MS. MARCH: Your Honor, you may be referring to when 11 we had our conference about Ms. Price responding to defendant's 12 discovery requests, we requested medical releases for any prior 13 treatment, and I know she had some questions about the scope of 14 that for your Honor, and we discussed that at the conference, 15 but we're now technically setting anew with her responding to 16 those. 17 THE COURT: Then that is what I'm remembering. Thank 18 you very much. Ms. March, while I have you standing, is there 19 20 anything else you would like me to know about discovery in this 21 case or about other what I will call next steps in this 22 litigation? 23 MS. MARCH: Nothing else, your Honor. 24 OK, thank you very much. THE COURT:

All right, Ms. Docherty, let me please hear from you.

25

Ms. Docherty, could I please have you introduce your

MS. DOCHERTY: Here we have Ms. Kelly Price and Frank Sinatra her service animal.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, both are welcome, and I thank you very much.

Ms. Price, what we have been talking about is

discovery in this case. You have attorneys now, and they will help you understand the specifics of discovery. But you and I have spoken previously about the purposes that discovery serves and the types of discovery that are available.

It is my understanding from your attorney and from the attorneys form the City defendants that even though we had a schedule already, it makes sense to begin again, to have you and your attorneys speak about what you have and what is appropriately produced, and to have the city defendants as well rethink what it is they've sought and what is appropriately sought.

So that's what you've walked in on. And I believe what we were talking about is the fact that I think the parties should get together and perhaps give me a revised case management plan. Can I have it by the end of the week if I ask nicely? I see nods. OK, that's a good thing. Today is only Monday, so we should be able to get it done by Friday.

And I am understanding as well, Ms. Price, that normally I allow 120 days, four months, for discovery, but I am understanding here that for a number of reasons, including your counsel's relative newness to the case, we're going to ask for a little bit more time in discovery. So, I will approve a reasonable case management plan.

Ms. Docherty, let me ask you this. Since this is our first meeting together on this case, are you familiar with the

various forms of ADR that the court offers? And, if there comes a point in time where that would be useful, would you let me know?

MS. DOCHERTY: I will. I am surface level familiar, but I will become more familiar and will certainly let you know.

THE COURT: Let me give you the CliffsNotes version please, and hopefully I don't date myself with that reference. There is a magistrate judge who is assigned to the case, and that is Judge Freeman, and if at any time both sides would like to have a settlement conference before Judge Freeman, you need only ask me, and I can give a referral order to her for that purpose.

There is as well a court-sponsored mediation program.

Ms. Price, did you deal at all with the mediators early on in this case?

MS. PRICE: No, not in this case, your Honor, but in my litigation against Reuters I went through the process with Honorable Judge Parker.

THE COURT: Yes. OK, thank you. And she is a magistrate judge, and that would be one track.

There is a separate track of court-appointed mediators. They tend to be -- and I believe they all are -- attorneys with significant experience who have decided for any number of reasons to give back to the SDNY community by serving

as mediators. If it is appropriate, we can have a mediator appointed. We look sometimes at their backgrounds, at their areas of expertise, and we look as well at their availability, because for some folks it is preferable to have, for example, a very concentrated period at the front end; for other folks it's an evolutionary process that takes months. So, there are different mediators who have different time commitments and availability.

The third option is of course that I can hold a settlement conference. But I always tell people that I understand that if they don't want me to participate, because I would be presiding at any trial of the matter, and for some folks that is a concern, so I take no offense.

But I just wanted to make sure that you were aware of that, because at some point I may ask the parties what their views are. You don't have to have them today, but at some point you might have to have them.

Ms. Docherty, let me ask you this, please. Have you thought about expert discovery in this case?

MS. DOCHERTY: We have not fully developed our opinion on that at this time.

THE COURT: OK. So we should schedule it in case it is something that the parties decide to do.

MS. DOCHERTY: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

And, Ms. March, is it your intention to have expert discovery if the plaintiff has an expert? I will try that question in a way that sounds more coherent.

Some folks say to me that they will only have rebuttal experts and will not have them in the first instance and therefore they're waiting to see what the other side does.

Other folks say that, irrespective of their adversary, they will have expert discovery. Do you have thoughts on it?

MS. MARCH: Your Honor, my thoughts right now is I would like to see if the other side is going to have an expert before we make our decision.

THE COURT: OK, thank you.

Let me ask this: Are there any other issues about the case that we should be talking about separate and apart from discovery?

This is a discovery conference, and I had it because I wanted to meet new counsel and welcome them to the case and thank them for their work on the case even before they really begin such work in earnest. But if there are other lingering issues, I wanted to know that as well.

Are there any, Ms. Docherty?

MS. DOCHERTY: Not on our end.

THE COURT: Ms. March, anything at your henned?

MS. MARCH: Not anything on my end, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Well, I thank you very much.

Ms. Price, this is a shorter conference than usual, but that's OK, that's because we've gotten so much done. Thank you all for coming. We are adjourned. Thank you. (Adjourned)